

INTELLIGENCE BRIEFING

Security Command Center

TLP:CLEAR

2026-06-22 06:24 UTC

# EO 14409 Creates AI Security Mandates - and Accountability Gaps Security Teams Must Navigate

GOVERNANCE | MEDIUM | CVSS 5.0

SCC Item ID	SCC-GOV-2026-0068
Type	Governance
Severity	MEDIUM
CVSS Base Score	5.0
Affected Products	Federal civilian government information systems, CrowdStrike Falcon Platform, NVIDIA Vera BlueField-4 STX
Discovery Source	Rss:T1 Threatintel

## Executive Summary

Executive Order 14409, signed June 2, 2026, requires federal civilian agencies to deploy AI-enabled detection and response tooling and harden identity infrastructure within a 30-to-60-day window. The order introduces material accountability gaps: AI security benchmarking criteria are classified and controlled by NSA with no public disclosure pathway, and the voluntary vulnerability clearinghouse limits its value as an intelligence-sharing mechanism. Critical infrastructure operators and regulated industries must assess compliance obligations now while preparing for the oversight ambiguity the order leaves unresolved.

## Technical Analysis

EO 14409 targets three control categories directly mapped to documented attack patterns: access control weaknesses (CWE-284), privilege management failures (CWE-269), and authentication mechanism weaknesses (CWE-1390). MITRE techniques in scope include supply chain compromise (T1195), command and script interpreter abuse (T1059), phishing (T1566), valid account abuse (T1078), vulnerability acquisition for exploitation (T1588.006), and authentication mechanism modification (T1556). CrowdStrike has documented significant year-over-year growth in AI-enabled attacks, providing the threat context the EO responds to. In-silicon security capabilities such as those in NVIDIA Vera BlueField-4 STX are examples of infrastructure hardening approaches relevant to the EO's identity and access control mandates. The order imposes no mandatory public reporting requirements; NSA controls benchmarking criteria without a public disclosure pathway, creating a verification gap for non-federal operators seeking to align their own AI tooling investments to the federal standard.

## Action Checklist

1. Step 1: Scoping. Determine whether your organization falls under EO 14409 directly (federal civilian agency) or indirectly (critical infrastructure operator with federal data handling obligations, or federal contractor subject to FISMA/CMMC). Document your determination with legal or compliance counsel.
2. Step 2: Identity Infrastructure Audit. Enumerate accounts with administrative or privileged access across systems handling federal or regulated data. Map findings against NIST AC-2 (Account Management) and AC-6 (Least Privilege). Flag accounts with CWE-269 (privilege management) or CWE-1390 (authentication mechanism) exposure.
3. Step 3: AI Tooling Inventory. Inventory all AI-enabled security tools currently deployed or planned. Assess each against the EO's detection and response mandates. Note: NSA benchmarking criteria are classified; document your evaluation methodology and gap assumptions for audit purposes.
4. Step 4: Vulnerability Clearinghouse Participation Decision. Evaluate whether voluntary participation in the EO's AI vulnerability clearinghouse aligns with your threat intelligence sharing posture. Given the voluntary and limited-disclosure structure, supplement with existing ISACs or CISA sharing mechanisms.
5. Step 5: Post-Order Control Mapping. Map current identity and access controls to NIST AC-3 (Access Enforcement), AC-7 (Unsuccessful Logon Attempts), and CIS 6.3/6.4/6.5 (MFA for externally-exposed applications, remote access, and administrative access). Document gaps and assign remediation owners with deadlines tied to the EO's 30-to-60-day window.

## IR / Forensic Enrichment

<b>Triage Priority</b>	URGENT
<b>Escalation Criteria</b>	Escalate to CISO and legal counsel immediately if the scoping determination (Step 1) confirms direct federal civilian agency applicability or critical infrastructure contractor status with federal data handling obligations, as the 30-to-60-day EO 14409 compliance window creates regulatory exposure that cannot be addressed at the team level without executive resource authorization.
<b>Recovery Notes</b>	Following identity hardening actions required by EO 14409, verify MFA enforcement is active and confirmed on all externally-exposed applications, remote access pathways, and administrative accounts across CrowdStrike Falcon-managed endpoints and NVIDIA BlueField-4 STX management interfaces before attesting compliance. Monitor CrowdStrike Falcon Identity Protection for authentication anomalies and privilege escalation attempts for a minimum of 30 days post-hardening, as adversaries may accelerate targeting of federal-adjacent infrastructure during the transition window when newly enforced controls may have configuration gaps. Retain all compliance documentation artifacts — scoping memos, account audit exports, AI tooling inventory, control gap records, and remediation closure evidence — in an immutable or write-once format to support any NSA or OMB audit under the EO's accountability framework.

#### Forensic Artifacts

CrowdStrike Falcon Identity Protection authentication event logs: filter for privileged account authentications lacking MFA challenge against systems handling federal or regulated data — these directly evidence the identity infrastructure exposure EO 14409 mandates be closed | Windows Security Event Log Event ID 4625 (failed logon) and Event ID 4776 (credential validation via NTLM) from domain controllers scoped to federal data systems — establishes pre-hardening authentication failure baseline and potential adversarial enumeration activity during the compliance transition window | Active Directory privileged group membership export with LastLogonDate and PasswordLastSet timestamps — documents the AC-2/AC-6 gap state required for EO 14409 audit attestation and identifies dormant privileged accounts subject to CIS 5.3 remediation | NVIDIA Vera BlueField-4 STX DPU management plane syslog output and BMC/IPMI access logs — captures control-plane authentication events on AI-accelerated security infrastructure specifically named in EO 14409's detection and response tooling mandate | CrowdStrike Falcon policy configuration export (sensor policies, prevention policies, identity protection rules) timestamped at the pre-EO baseline — serves as the AI tooling inventory artifact and gap documentation required when NSA benchmarking criteria are classified and unavailable for direct comparison

#### Per-Action IR Details

**Step 1: Scoping — Determine whether your organization falls under EO 14409 directly (federal civilian agency) or indirectly (critical infrastructure contractor, regulated industry with federal data handling obligations).**

**Document your determination with legal or compliance counsel.**

**NIST Phase:** Preparation

**Reference:** NIST 800-61r3 §2 — Preparation: Establishing IR capability and organizational scope before an adverse event requires action

**Controls:** NIST AC-1 (Policy And Procedures), CIS 1.1 (Establish and Maintain Detailed Enterprise Asset Inventory)

**Compensating:** A 2-person team can execute scoping without tooling: build a spreadsheet mapping each system that stores, processes, or transmits federal or regulated data (FedRAMP-authorized systems, FISMA-scoped assets, CUI-handling endpoints), then cross-reference against the agency or contractor relationship documented in existing contracts. Use CISA's Critical Infrastructure Sector definitions ([cisa.gov/critical-infrastructure-sectors](https://www.cisa.gov/critical-infrastructure-sectors)) to validate indirect applicability. Document the determination in a dated memo reviewed by counsel.

**Evidence:** This is a pre-action scoping step that does not alter live system state; no volatile capture is required before execution. Preserve existing compliance documentation baselines — current FedRAMP authorization packages, existing FISMA system boundary documents, and any prior EO 13800/14028 compliance records — as reference artifacts before the scoping determination is finalized, since EO 14409 builds on those prior order obligations.

**Step 2: Identity Infrastructure Audit — Enumerate accounts with administrative or privileged access across systems handling federal or regulated data. Map findings against NIST AC-2 (Account Management) and AC-6 (Least Privilege). Flag accounts with CWE-269 (privilege management) or CWE-1390 (authentication mechanism) exposure.**

**NIST Phase:** Preparation

**Reference:** NIST 800-61r3 §2 — Preparation: Pre-incident hardening of identity infrastructure as required by EO 14409's 30-to-60-day identity mandate

**Controls:** NIST AC-2 (Account Management), NIST AC-6 (Least Privilege), NIST AC-3 (Access Enforcement), CIS 5.1 (Establish and Maintain an Inventory of Accounts), CIS 5.3 (Disable Dormant Accounts), CIS 5.4 (Restrict Administrator Privileges to Dedicated Administrator Accounts)

**Compensating:** On Windows environments without a PAM solution, run: ``Get-ADUser -Filter * -Properties LastLogonDate,MemberOf | Where-Object {$_.MemberOf -match 'Admin'} | Export-Csv privileged_accounts.csv`` to enumerate privileged AD accounts. Supplement with ``net localgroup administrators`` on each endpoint and ``dsquery group -name '*admin*'`` for group enumeration. On Linux, parse ``/etc/sudoers`` and ``/etc/group`` for elevated

membership. Cross-reference CrowdStrike Falcon's Identity Protection module event logs (if deployed) for stale or anomalous privileged account activity against federal-data-handling systems.

**Evidence:** Before any account remediation actions (disabling accounts, revoking tokens, resetting credentials), capture a point-in-time snapshot: export full AD account listing with ``LastLogonDate``, ``PasswordLastSet``, and group membership; export CrowdStrike Falcon Identity Protection audit logs for privileged account authentication events; and for systems running NVIDIA Vera BlueField-4 STX, capture current DPU management plane access logs showing which accounts have issued control-plane commands. These baselines establish the pre-remediation privilege posture required for EO 14409 audit documentation and post-action attestation.

**Step 3: AI Tooling Inventory — Inventory all AI-enabled security tools currently deployed or planned. Assess each against the EO's detection and response mandates. Note: NSA benchmarking criteria are classified; document your evaluation methodology and gap assumptions for audit purposes.**

**NIST Phase:** Preparation

**Reference:** NIST 800-61r3 §2 — Preparation: Establishing detection and response tooling capability aligned to EO 14409's AI-enabled D&R mandate before the 30-to-60-day compliance window closes

**Controls:** NIST AU-2 (Event Logging), CIS 2.1 (Establish and Maintain a Software Inventory), CIS 2.2 (Ensure Authorized Software is Currently Supported)

**Compensating:** Without an enterprise asset management platform, build the AI tooling inventory manually: query CrowdStrike Falcon's sensor policy inventory via Falcon API (``/devices/queries/devices/v1``) to enumerate hosts with AI-assisted prevention policies active; document NVIDIA Vera BlueField-4 STX DPU firmware version and which AI-accelerated security workloads (e.g., SmartNIC-based traffic inspection) are running via ``mlxconfig query`` or vendor management CLI. For gap documentation against the classified NSA benchmarking criteria, record the evaluation date, the EO section referenced, and the assumption that public NIST AI RMF 1.0 criteria were used as the closest unclassified proxy.

**Evidence:** This step does not alter live system state; no volatile pre-capture is required. Before finalizing the inventory, archive current CrowdStrike Falcon policy configuration exports and NVIDIA BlueField-4 STX management plane configuration snapshots as timestamped baseline artifacts — these establish the pre-EO tooling posture and are required evidence if the NSA or oversight body later audits compliance with EO 14409's AI deployment mandate.

**Step 4: Vulnerability Clearinghouse Participation Decision — Evaluate whether voluntary participation in the EO's AI vulnerability clearinghouse aligns with your threat intelligence sharing posture. Given the voluntary and limited-disclosure structure, supplement with existing ISACs or CISA sharing mechanisms.**

**NIST Phase:** Post Incident

**Reference:** NIST 800-61r3 §4 — Post-Incident Activity: Intelligence sharing, lessons learned dissemination, and updating detection posture based on shared threat data

**Controls:** NIST AU-6 (Audit Record Review, Analysis, And Reporting), NIST AU-16 (Cross-Organizational Audit Logging)

**Compensating:** Without formal ISAC membership or a threat intelligence platform, a 2-person team can participate in CISA's free Automated Indicator Sharing (AIS) program ([cisa.gov/topics/cyber-threats-and-advisories/information-sharing/automated-indicator-sharing-ais](https://cisa.gov/topics/cyber-threats-and-advisories/information-sharing/automated-indicator-sharing-ais)) and subscribe to CISA's AI-specific advisories. For the clearinghouse participation decision, draft a one-page posture memo documenting: (1) categories of AI vulnerability data you are willing to share, (2) data types requiring legal review before disclosure, and (3) the ISAC channels already in use. This memo serves as the audit artifact for EO 14409 compliance documentation of your sharing posture decision.

**Evidence:** No live system state is altered by this decision step; no volatile capture is required. Preserve existing threat intelligence sharing agreements, current ISAC participation records, and any prior CISA AIS enrollment documentation as baseline artifacts before making the participation determination — these establish the pre-EO sharing posture for audit purposes and inform whether the clearinghouse adds marginal value over existing channels.

**Step 5: Post-Order Control Mapping — Map current identity and access controls to NIST AC-3 (Access Enforcement), AC-7 (Unsuccessful Logon Attempts), and CIS 6.3/6.4/6.5 (MFA for externally-exposed**

applications, remote access, and administrative access). Document gaps and assign remediation owners with deadlines tied to the EO's 30-to-60-day window.

**NIST Phase:** Preparation

**Reference:** NIST 800-61r3 §2 — Preparation: Establishing and verifying preventive controls against EO 14409's identity hardening mandate prior to the compliance deadline

**Controls:** NIST AC-3 (Access Enforcement), NIST AC-7 (Unsuccessful Logon Attempts), NIST AC-12 (Session Termination), CIS 6.3 (Require MFA for Externally-Exposed Applications), CIS 6.4 (Require MFA for Remote Network Access), CIS 6.5 (Require MFA for Administrative Access)

**Compensating:** For MFA gap assessment without an IAM platform: query CrowdStrike Falcon Identity Protection for authentication events lacking MFA challenge (filter on `AuthenticationType != MFA` in Falcon Investigate); on Windows AD, run `Get-ADUser -Filter {Enabled -eq \$true} -Properties 'msDS-SupportedEncryptionTypes','PasswordNeverExpires` to flag accounts without modern auth enforcement. For AC-7 lockout policy verification, run `Get-ADDefaultDomainPasswordPolicy` and compare against NIST SP 800-63B thresholds. For NVIDIA BlueField-4 STX management plane access, verify MFA enforcement on out-of-band management interfaces via the DPU's BMC/IPMI configuration. Document each gap with owner, system, and a deadline mapped to the EO's 30- or 60-day tier.

**Evidence:** Before implementing any MFA enforcement changes or access policy modifications (which will terminate existing sessions and alter authentication state), capture: CrowdStrike Falcon Identity Protection authentication logs for the prior 30 days (exported via Falcon API `/oauth2/token` + event search) to baseline current MFA adoption rates; Windows Security Event Log Event ID 4625 (failed logon) and Event ID 4776 (NTLM authentication) exports from domain controllers handling federal data; and NVIDIA BlueField-4 STX management plane access logs from the DPU's syslog output. These artifacts establish the pre-hardening authentication posture required for EO 14409 compliance attestation and document the gap state before remediation owners take action.

## Detection Guidance

Detection focus centers on the three CWE categories the EO targets. For CWE-284 (Improper Access Control) and CWE-269 (Improper Privilege Management): query identity and access logs for privilege escalation events, dormant account activation, and administrative actions outside approved change windows, aligned with NIST AU-6 (Audit Record Review, Analysis, and Reporting). For CWE-1390 (Weak Authentication): monitor for authentication bypass indicators, repeated failed logon sequences (NIST AC-7), and MFA enrollment or policy changes. For T1078 (Valid Accounts) and T1556 (Modify Authentication Process): correlate authentication logs against baseline user behavior; flag logons from unusual source IPs, times, or user-agents. For T1588.006 (Vulnerability Acquisition): monitor threat intelligence feeds and the CISA KEV catalog for AI tooling CVEs relevant to your deployed stack. Apply D3-MFA (Multi-factor Authentication) and D3-CRO (Credential Rotation) as detection hygiene baselines. Use D3-LAM (Local Account Monitoring) to surface unauthorized local account changes on systems subject to identity hardening mandates.

## Framework Mappings

### MITRE-ATTACK

- **T1195** — Supply Chain Compromise
- **T1059** — Command and Scripting Interpreter
- **T1566** — Phishing
- **T1078** — Valid Accounts
- **T1588.006** — Vulnerabilities

- **T1556** — Modify Authentication Process

#### **NIST-800-53R5**

- **SA-9** — External System Services
- **SR-2** — Supply Chain Risk Management Plan
- **SR-3** — Supply Chain Controls and Processes
- **SI-7** — Software, Firmware, and Information Integrity
- **CM-7** — Least Functionality
- **SI-3** — Malicious Code Protection
- **SI-4** — System Monitoring
- **AT-2** — Literacy Training and Awareness
- **CA-7** — Continuous Monitoring
- **SC-7** — Boundary Protection
- **SI-8** — Spam Protection
- **AC-2** — Account Management
- **AC-6** — Least Privilege
- **IA-2** — Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users)
- **IA-5** — Authenticator Management
- **AC-3** — Access Enforcement
- **IR-5** — Incident Monitoring

#### **OWASP-TOP10-2021**

- **A01:2021** — Broken Access Control

#### **CIS-V8**

- **6.1** — Establish an Access Granting Process
- **6.2** — Establish an Access Revoking Process
- **5.4** — Restrict Administrator Privileges to Dedicated Administrator Accounts
- **6.8** — Define and Maintain Role-Based Access Control
- **8.2** — Collect Audit Logs

#### **SOC2-TSC**

- **CC6.1** — The entity implements logical access security software, infrastructure, and architectures over protected information assets

#### **HIPAA-SECURITY**

- **164.312(a)(1)** — Access Control

#### **ISO-27001-2022**

- **A.8.8** — Management of technical vulnerabilities

#### **NIST-CSF-2**

- **DE.CM-01** — Networks and network services are monitored

- **DE.AE-08** — Incidents are declared when adverse events meet the defined incident criteria

## MITRE ATT&CK Mapping

Technique ID	Technique Name	Tactic
T1195	Supply Chain Compromise	Initial-Access
T1059	Command and Scripting Interpreter	Execution
T1566	Phishing	Initial-Access
T1078	Valid Accounts	Defense-Evasion
T1588.006	Vulnerabilities	Resource-Development
T1556	Modify Authentication Process	Credential-Access

## Sources

Source	URL	Tier
Blog	<a href="https://www.crowdstrike.com/en-us/blog/after-executive-order-14409-...">https://www.crowdstrike.com/en-us/blog/after-executive-order-14409-...</a>	T3
	<a href="https://techpolicy.press/transparency-and-accountability-gaps-in-tr...">https://techpolicy.press/transparency-and-accountability-gaps-in-tr...</a>	T3
	<a href="https://perkinscoie.com/insights/update/white-house-issues-executiv...">https://perkinscoie.com/insights/update/white-house-issues-executiv...</a>	T3
	<a href="https://industrialcyber.co/regulation-standards-and-compliance/whit...">https://industrialcyber.co/regulation-standards-and-compliance/whit...</a>	T3
<b>NVIDIA Vera BlueField-4 STX Brings Agentic AI Storage Processing ...</b>	<a href="https://nvidianews.nvidia.com/news/nvidia-vera-bluefield-4-stx-brin...">https://nvidianews.nvidia.com/news/nvidia-vera-bluefield-4-stx-brin...</a>	T3

### DISCLAIMER

This intelligence report is produced by Tech Jacks Solutions Security Command Center (SCC) for informational purposes only. It does not constitute professional security advice, legal counsel, or an incident response engagement. The information herein is derived from publicly available sources and AI-assisted analysis; while every effort is made to ensure accuracy, Tech Jacks Solutions makes no warranties regarding completeness or timeliness. Organizations should conduct their own validation and consult qualified security professionals before taking action based on this report. Tech Jacks Solutions is not liable for any damages resulting from the use of this information.

Generated 2026-06-22 06:24 UTC by TJS Security Command Center