

AI-Assisted Ransomware Toolkit Automates Active Directory Discovery and EDR Evasion

THREAT CAMPAIGN | HIGH | CVSS 8.1

SCC Item ID	SCC-CAM-2026-0441
Type	Threat Campaign
Severity	HIGH
CVSS Base Score	8.1
Affected Products	Enterprise environments; EDR solutions including Sophos Intercept X, CrowdStrike Falcon, Microsoft Defender for Endpoint; Active Directory infrastructure
Published	2026-06-08
Discovery Source	Gemini

Executive Summary

A reported ransomware toolkit suspected of using AI coding assistants (Cursor IDE and Anthropic's Claude Opus) is reportedly automating Active Directory enumeration and evasion of enterprise EDR platforms including Sophos Intercept X, CrowdStrike Falcon, and Microsoft Defender for Endpoint. By generating evasion code dynamically, the toolkit is reported to lower the technical skill required to operate ransomware, potentially expanding the pool of threat actors capable of executing such attacks. Organizations relying on EDR as a sole primary defense layer (without compensating AD controls, MFA, or behavioral monitoring) may face elevated ransomware risk; AD compromise enables rapid lateral movement to domain controllers and mass encryption events.

Technical Analysis

Based on medium-confidence threat reporting, a ransomware toolkit category integrating AI code generation (Cursor IDE, Claude Opus API) is suspected across two attack phases: (1) AD discovery, automating T1482 (Domain Trust Discovery), T1087.002 (Account Discovery: Domain Account), and T1069.002 (Permission Groups Discovery: Domain Groups) to map privileged accounts, domain controllers, and lateral movement paths; (2) EDR evasion, reportedly generating obfuscation (T1027) and defense impairment code (T1562.001: Impair Defenses: Disable or Modify Tools) tailored to specific deployed EDR solutions. Execution relies on scripting (T1059). Masquerading (T1036) is reported as part of delivery or persistence. Final payload is data encryption (T1486: Data Encrypted for Impact). No CVE identifier is associated; this is a tooling/campaign item, not a vulnerability in a named software product. CVSS base score of 8.1 was assigned by reporting sources but is not standard for campaign-type analysis; severity rating reflects operational impact rather than CVE-based

scoring. No vendor advisory from Sophos, CrowdStrike, or Microsoft confirming specific toolkit targeting has been independently verified at analysis time. Source confidence for specific technical implementation details is medium; the AI-assisted ransomware tooling category is recognized in threat landscape reporting. Behavioral detection is the recommended approach given the absence of confirmed IOC hashes or verified attribution details.

Action Checklist

1. Step 1: Containment, Audit AD for anomalous enumeration activity: review Domain Controller security event logs for high-volume queries against LDAP (Event IDs 4661, 4662) and net group/net user commands (Event ID 4688 with suspicious parent processes). Restrict outbound LDAP queries from non-admin endpoints per NIST AC-6 (Least Privilege) and CIS 5.4 (Restrict Administrator Privileges to Dedicated Administrator Accounts).
2. Step 2: Detection, Enable and review EDR telemetry for process injection, driver unloading, and service tampering consistent with T1562.001. Query SIEM for sequences of T1482/T1087.002/T1069.002 MITRE-mapped events within short time windows (e.g., AD enumeration tools, dsquery, AdFind, BloodHound artifacts, executed under non-admin user contexts). Correlate with T1059 (script execution) and T1036 (masquerading) indicators. Per CIS 8.2 (Collect Audit Logs) and NIST AU-2 (Event Logging), verify AD and endpoint audit logging is enabled and forwarding to SIEM.
3. Step 3: Eradication, Apply NIST AC-6 (Least Privilege) and CIS 5.4 to reduce AD account privileges to operational minimums; remove unnecessary domain admin memberships. Enforce tiered AD administration model to limit lateral movement paths. Review and harden EDR exclusion lists; overly broad exclusions are a common evasion enabler. Validate EDR policies are not tampered with and sensors are in full-enforcement mode on all domain-joined endpoints.
4. Step 4: Recovery, After containment, validate no unauthorized AD objects (accounts, GPOs, trusts) were created during the intrusion window. Re-enable and verify EDR sensor health across all endpoints. Rotate privileged AD account credentials per D3-CRO (Credential Rotation). Confirm AD replication integrity across domain controllers. Per NIST AU-9 (Protection of Audit Information), verify audit logs were not deleted or tampered with during the attack window.
5. Step 5: Post-Incident, Conduct a control gap review against NIST AC-5 (Separation of Duties) and CIS 6.5 (Require MFA for Administrative Access). MFA on all privileged AD accounts is a direct mitigation for credential-based lateral movement. Implement D3-MFA (Multi-factor Authentication) for all administrative access. Review whether AI-assisted code generation tools (Cursor, Claude API access) are reachable from enterprise endpoints and restrict per CIS 4.4 (Implement and Manage a Firewall on Servers) and CIS 4.5 (Implement and Manage a Firewall on End-User Devices) if no business justification exists. Document enumeration detection gaps for future hunt missions.

Detection Guidance

Focus detection on three behavioral sequences, not individual events. (1) AD Enumeration Spike: Windows Security Event IDs 4661 and 4662 (object access on AD objects) at high volume from a single non-DC endpoint in a short window; Event ID 4688 with command-line arguments matching dsquery, AdFind, BloodHound, or net group/net user targeting domain admins. (2) EDR Impairment: Event ID 7045 (new service installed), 7036 (service state change), or 4703 (token privilege adjusted) preceding EDR sensor going offline or entering

degraded mode; registry modifications to EDR driver or service paths. (3) Script-Based Execution: PowerShell or cmd.exe spawning from unusual parent processes (e.g., Office applications, browser processes) with encoded payloads (T1027/T1059). Map alerts to MITRE ATT&CK chain: T1482 → T1087.002 → T1069.002 → T1562.001 → T1486. A sequential hit on three or more of these techniques within a single host or user session warrants immediate escalation. No confirmed IOC hashes, IPs, or domains were available from verified sources at analysis time; behavioral detection is the primary viable approach. Apply D3-LAM (Local Account Monitoring) and D3-SFA (System File Analysis) for supplementary signal. Per NIST AU-6 (Audit Record Review, Analysis, and Reporting), these patterns should be reviewed at least daily during heightened threat periods.

Framework Mappings

MITRE-ATTACK

- **T1059** — Command and Scripting Interpreter
- **T1482** — Domain Trust Discovery
- **T1036** — Masquerading
- **T1087.002** — Domain Account
- **T1069.002** — Domain Groups
- **T1027** — Obfuscated Files or Information
- **T1562.001** — Disable or Modify Tools
- **T1486** — Data Encrypted for Impact

NIST-800-53R5

- **CM-7** — Least Functionality
- **SI-3** — Malicious Code Protection
- **SI-4** — System Monitoring
- **SI-7** — Software, Firmware, and Information Integrity
- **CP-9** — System Backup
- **CP-10** — System Recovery and Reconstitution
- **IR-4** — Incident Handling

NIST-CSF-2

- **RS.MI-01** — Incidents are contained
- **DE.CM-01** — Networks and network services are monitored

HIPAA-SECURITY

- **164.308(a)(7)(ii)(A)** — Data Backup Plan

ISO-27001-2022

- **A.5.29** — Information security during disruption
- **A.5.21** — Managing information security in the ICT supply chain

SOC2-TSC

- **CC9.2** — Manages risks associated with vendors and business partners

CIS-V8

- **8.2** — Collect Audit Logs

MITRE ATT&CK Mapping

Technique ID	Technique Name	Tactic
T1059	Command and Scripting Interpreter	Execution
T1482	Domain Trust Discovery	Discovery
T1036	Masquerading	Defense-Evasion
T1087.002	Domain Account	Discovery
T1069.002	Domain Groups	Discovery
T1027	Obfuscated Files or Information	Defense-Evasion
T1562.001	Disable or Modify Tools	Defense-Evasion
T1486	Data Encrypted for Impact	Impact

Sources

Source	URL	Tier
Sophos EDR - Endpoint Detection and Response	https://www.sophos.com/en-us/products/endpoint-security/edr	T3
New Endpoint Security - CrowdStrike, Microsoft, Sophos, Sysmon, ...?	https://www.reddit.com/r/AskNetsec/comments/e3cerw/new_endpoint_sec..	T3
Best Endpoint Protection Platforms (Transitioning to ... - Gartner	https://www.gartner.com/reviews/market/endpoint-protection-platforms	T2
Best Enterprise Endpoint Protection Solutions Compared - Huntress	https://www.huntress.com/enterprise-cybersecurity-guide/best-endpoi...	T3
What is EDR? Endpoint Detection & Response Defined - CrowdStrike	https://www.crowdstrike.com/en-us/cybersecurity-101/endpoint-securi...	T3

DISCLAIMER

This intelligence report is produced by Tech Jacks Solutions Security Command Center (SCC) for informational purposes only. It does not constitute professional security advice, legal counsel, or an incident response engagement. The information herein is derived from publicly available sources and AI-assisted analysis; while every effort is made to ensure accuracy, Tech Jacks Solutions makes no warranties regarding completeness or timeliness. Organizations should conduct their own validation and consult qualified security professionals before taking action based on this report. Tech Jacks Solutions is not liable for any damages resulting from the use of this information.

Generated 2026-06-11 08:22 UTC by TJS Security Command Center